

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/772,728	01/30/2001	Hiroyoshi Tanimoto	PM 0245692 081225	2553	
7590 02/22/2005			EXAMINER HOGAN, MARY C		
Mr. Roger R. Wise Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP 725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1200					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles,			2123		
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/772,	728	TANIMOTO, HIROYOSHI				
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit				
		Mary C I		2123				
	The MAILING DATE of this communica		_		ess			
Period fo		• •		·				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ection.  lays, a reply within the story period will apply and, by statute, cause the apply and	event, however, may a reply be to atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from optication to become ABANDON	imely filed  by swill be considered timely.  the mailing date of this commediate (as 133).	unication ·			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 10 December	2004.					
		☐ This action is						
	·							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from c	·					
Applicati	on Papers							
10) 🖾 -	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on 29 November 2 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to b	004 is/are: a)⊠ on to the drawing(s) e correction is requ	be held in abeyance. So ired if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ / a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for   All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docum I Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Sta	age			
Attachment	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	ov (PTO-413)	_			
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-092) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail [		·2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/772,728 Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application has been examined.

2. Claims 1-24 have been examined and rejected.

### Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Page 2, the start of paragraph 1 states, "In such a background recently...". This statement is unclear in meaning. It is suggested that it be changed to read, "In the field of related art...".
- 5. Page 2, line 2 contains the following error, "...'requires...".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. A substitute specification has been entered in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.125 and examined. Examiner withdraws the earlier 35 USC § 112, first paragraph rejection.
- 7. Amendments to Claims 1-20 have been examined. Examiner withdraws the earlier 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejections.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hurkx et al (Hurkx et al, "A New Analytical Diode Model Including Tunneling and Avalanche Breakdown", IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Vol. 39, No. 9, September 1992), herein referred to as **Hurkx**.
- 10. As to Claims 1-24, Hurkx teaches circuit simulation (page 2090, column 1, last 5 lines) using a model that integrates a carrier generation and extinction speed obtained in each carrier generation and extinction mechanism (page 2091, column 2, equation 8), the carrier generation and extinction mechanism including an SRH process (page 2091, column 1, equation 4 and description), impact

Application/Control Number: 09/772,728

Art Unit: 2123

ionization (page 2091, column 1, equation 3 and description), inter-band tunneling (page 2091, column 1, second bullet, and column 2, equation 10), extracting the plurality of the generation and extinction speeds by numerically solving the physical equations (page 2091, column 1, sentence 3-column 2, equation 7). Further, Hurkx teaches extracting and outputting electrical characteristics repeatedly by varying a bias condition to the semiconductor device (Figures 6 and 7, Table 1 and descriptions).

# Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/10/04 regarding claims 1-24 have been considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. Applicant argues as to claim 1: "the Hurkx reference does not show that "an integral value calculator configured to integrate a carrier generation and extinction speed obtained in each carrier generation and extinction mechanism by numerically solving the physical equations, in the each carrier generation and extinction mechanism within a semiconductor region, and issue the result obtained by integration respectively." (page 11, paragraph 3). Applicant further argues that these limitations "in each carrier generation and extinction mechanism" and "obtained by integration respectively" in claims 6, 11 and 16 are not taught as discussed for claim 1 (page 11 last paragraph-page 12, first paragraph).
- 13. As to the above argument, Hurkx shows an integral value calculator configured to integrate a carrier generation and extinction speed (Equation 8) obtained in each carrier generation and extinction mechanism by numerically solving the physical equations (equations 3-7), in the each carrier generation and extinction mechanism within a semiconductor region (Figure 2), and issue the result obtained by integration respectively (page 2090, column 1, last sentence, column 2, sentences 3-6, Table 1).
- 14. Equation 8 shows an integral value calculator since it integrates the carrier generation and extinction speeds where the carrier generation and extinction speeds are represented in the determinations for R<sub>trap</sub>, R<sub>bbt</sub> and the ionization coefficient obtained by numerically solving physical equations. Equation 8 is used in the development of a model used to obtain electrical characteristics of a semiconductor device whereby the results are issues as shown in Table 1. Figure 3 and equation 8 show that the integration is performed over various regions, and therefore, evaluated at each carrier generation and extinction mechanism within the semiconductor region.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,728

Art Unit: 2123

#### Conclusion

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary C Hogan whose telephone number is 571-272-3712. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-5PM Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on 571-272-3716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mary C Hogan Examiner Art Unit 2123

\*\*\*

Children trainer